

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/530,234	ELLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(2) Greg Warren.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
all


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was told that there was prior art on claims 1-2 [see reasons for allowance]; it was suggested that claim 1 be amended and claims 2-3 cancelled. Claim 10 was amended and claim 11 cancelled because the transformation event would only be in a cotton plant..